



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/670,760	09/27/2000	Luis Felipe Cabrera	MSFT-0176/150795.1	6145
7	7590 08/17/2004		EXAM	INER
Thomas E Watson			THAI, HANH B	
Woodcock Wa	shburn Kurtz Mackiew:	icz & Norris LLP		
One Liberty Place -46th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Philadelphia, PA 19103			2171	

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

O9/670,760

CABRERA, LUIS FELIPE

Examiner

Hanh B Thai

2171

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is land one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exteres the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate exteres under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or	the
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ent
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	ıe
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
3. The drawing correction filed on is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.	
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
0.10 Other: PTO- 892 catached	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 063004

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's argument filed June 30, 2004 regarding Pongracz have been fully consider. Applicant argues that Pongracz discloses the reverse process. In response, the specification at page 3 clearly shows that the claimed invention is restoring an object including a file. Thus, Pongracz discloses the same process, not the reverse process as alleged by applicant.

UYEN LE